## Remarks

Claim 10 has been amended to recite a single pendency. With such amendment, it is presumed that such claim is included in the Group II claims with respect to the restriction requirement.

Applicant further traverses the restriction requirement in submitting that there is only a single invention being claimed. Claims 7 through 10 identified as Group II claims constitute simply additional steps of the method recited in their parent claims. Essentially, such Group II claims merely provide the additional step of displaying the values determined by the steps recited in the method set forth in the parent claims.

As required, Applicant provisionally elects to prosecute the invention identified by the Group I claims which purportedly is recited in claims 1 through 7.

In view of the foregoing, it respectfully is requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn and that the application proceeds to a consideration on the merits.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees or credit any overpayment of fees in connection with this communication to Deposit Account 19-4375.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter N. Lalos

Registration No. 19,789

STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, LLP

1615 L Street, NW, Suite 850 Washington, DC 20036-5622

Telephone: 202/785-0100 Facsimile: 202/785-0200

September 24, 2007 PNL:cb